

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.weylo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/517,855	09/21/2005	Joseph Somerville	A35247-PCT-USA (06585.032	9077		
21003 7590 09/02/2009 BAKER BOTTS LL.P. 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			EXAM	EXAMINER		
			WOODS,	WOODS, TERESA S		
44TH FLOOR NEW YORK	NY 10112-4498	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
Tan Tom,	10112 1150		3686			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			09/02/2009	ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DLNYDOCKET@BAKERBOTTS.COM

Office Action Summary 10/517,855 SOMERVILLE, JOSEPH Examiner Art Unit TERESA MOODS 3898

Application No.

Applicant(s)

		TERESA WOODS	3686				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estensions of time may be available under the provision of 3 CF8 11 1369. In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MORTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the meximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MORTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to epily within the set or extended period for reply will by statuta, cause the application to become ADMONED (SS U.S.C. § 13S). Failure to epily within the set or extended period for reply will be supplied to the insummatication, even if themsy filed, may replect any example applied to the insummatication, even if themsy filed, may replect any example against term adjustments. See 3 CF8 IT 2014 off the the mailing date of this communication, even if themsy filed, may replect any example against term adjustments.							
Status	, ,						
2a) <u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 Sec</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	action is non-final. ice except for formal matters, pro		e merits is			
	Disposition of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 14 December 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b] Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicativity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachmen	it(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				

Art Unit: 3686 Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

- 1. This action is in reply to the application filed on 09/21/2005.
- 2. Claims 1-48 are currently pending and have been examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- Claims 1-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ghouri (US 2004/0162835 A1) in view of Mohapatra (US 2006/0149416 A1).

Art Unit: 3686 Page 3

Claim 1:

Ghouri, as shown, discloses the following limitations:

- determining an originator indicator for the product (See at least ¶0088)
- (b) determining a patent status indicator for the product (See at least ¶0088)
- (c) determining a source indicator for the product (See at least Fig. 3, ¶0088)
- (d) determining a tradename indicator for the product (See at least Fig. 3, ¶0025, ¶0085, ¶0087)

Ghouri does not explicitly disclose classification of generic and brand name products. However, Mohapatra discloses a similar system provided below:

 (e) categorizing the product as a branded product or a generic product, using said originator indicator, said patent status indicator, said source indicator, and said tradename indicator determined in steps (a) - (d) (See at least ¶0093, ¶0104).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have modified the system of Ghouri so as to have included generic and brand name product classifications of Mohapatra for a more comprehensive pharmaceutical classification system for prescribing medications to patients to have improved the efficiency of the system, since so doing could be performed readily and easily by any person of ordinary skill in the art, with neither undue experimentation, nor risk of unexpected results.

Art Unit: 3686 Page 4

7. Claim 2:

Ghouri and Mohapatra disclose the limitation mentioned above. Ghouri and Mohapatra do not disclose the following limitations. However, Ghouri further discloses(e) comprises categorizing the product as a product selected from the group consisting of a branded product, a generic product, and an other product, using said originator indicator, said patent status indicator, said source indicator, and said tradename indicator determined in steps (a) - (d) (See at least Fig. 3, ¶0089, ¶0090, ¶0091). Here, the grouping serves as categorizing.

8. Claim 3:

Ghouri and Mohapatra disclose the limitation mentioned above. Ghouri and Mohapatra do not disclose the following limitations. However, Ghouri further discloses wherein step (e) comprises categorizing a product as a product selected from the group consisting of a branded product, a generic product, a branded generic product, and an other product, using said originator indicator, said patent status indicator, said source indicator, and said tradename indicator determined in steps (a) - (d) (See at least Fig. 3, ¶0089, ¶0090, ¶0091). Here, the grouping serves as categorizing.

Art Unit: 3686 Page 5

9 Claim 4:

Ghouri and Mohapatra disclose the limitation mentioned above. Ghouri and Mohapatra do not disclose the following limitations. However, Ghouri further discloses:

 wherein the originator indicator classifies the product as a product selected from the group consisting of originator, non-originator, and other (See at least ¶0098).

Here, the manufacture's name serves as an originator and the chemical description serves as non-originator and other.

 wherein the patent status indicator classifies the product as a product selected from the group consisting of on-patent, off-patent, and other (See at least 0005).

Here, drug information required by law or regulatory agencies serve as onpatent, off-patent, and other.

 wherein the source indicator classifies the product as a product selected from the group consisting of single source, multiple source, co-licensed, and other (See at least ¶0089, ¶0098).

Here, the manufacture's name serves as a single source and the generic name serves as multiple sources. co-licensed, and other.

 wherein the tradename indicator classifies the product as a product selected from the group consisting of tradename, non-tradename, and other (See at least ¶0085, ¶0091). Here, numeric, alphanumeric and symbology sets serve as nontradename and other.

Art Unit: 3686 Page 6

10 Claim 5:

Ghouri and Mohapatra disclose the limitation mentioned above. Ghouri and Mohapatra do not disclose the following limitations. However, Ghouri further discloses:

 wherein the originator indicator classifies the product as a product selected from the group consisting of originator, non-originator (See at least ¶0098).

Here, the manufacture's name serves as an originator and the chemical description serves as non-originator and other.

 wherein the patent status indicator classifies the product as a product selected from the group consisting of on-patent, off-patent, and other (See at least ¶0005).

Here, drug information required by law or regulatory agencies serve as onpatent, off-patent, and other.

 wherein the source indicator classifies the product as a product selected from the group consisting of single source, multiple source, co-licensed (See at least ¶0089, ¶0098).

Here, the manufacture's name serves as a single source and the generic name serves as multiple sources. co-licensed, and other.

 wherein the tradename indicator classifies the product as a product selected from the group consisting of tradename, non-tradename, and other (See at least ¶0085, ¶0091).

Here, numeric, alphanumeric and symbology sets serve as non-tradename and other.

Art Unit: 3686 Page 7

11 Claim 6:

Ghouri and Mohapatra disclose the limitation mentioned above. Ghouri and Mohapatra do not disclose the following limitations. However, Ghouri further discloses:

- wherein the originator indicator classifies the product as a product selected from the group consisting of originator, non-originator, and other (See at least ¶0098).
- wherein the patent status indicator classifies the product as a product selected from the group consisting of on-patent, off-patent, and other (See at least ¶0005)
- wherein the source indicator classifies the product as a product selected from the group consisting of single source, multiple source, co-licensed, and other (See at least ¶0089, ¶0098).
- wherein the tradename indicator classifies the product as a product selected from the group consisting of tradename, non-tradename, and other (See at least ¶0085, ¶0091).

12 Claim 7:

- wherein the originator indicator classifies the product as a product selected from the group consisting of originator, non-originator, non-applicable over the counter, other non-applicable, unknown, and other (See at least ¶0098).
- wherein the patent status indicator classifies the product as a product selected from the group consisting of on-patent, off-patent, over the counter, and other (See at least ¶0089, ¶0098).

Art Unit: 3686 Page 8

In the first citation, there are manufactured product names that serve as over the counter products.

- wherein the source indicator classifies the product as a product selected from the group consisting of single source, multiple source, co-licensed, over the counter, and other (See at least ¶0088, ¶0098).
- wherein the tradename indicator classifies the product as a product selected from the group consisting of tradename, non-tradename, over the counter, and other (See at least ¶0085, ¶0089, ¶0091).

13 Claim 8:

- (i) the originator indicator classifies the product as originator (See at least ¶0088)
- (ii) the patent status indicator classifies the product as on-patent or off-patent (See at least ¶0005, ¶0088)
- (iii) the source indicator classifies the product as a product selected from the group consisting of single-source, multi-source, and co-licensed (See at least ¶0089, ¶0098).
- (iv) the tradename indicator classifies the product as tradename or nontradename (See at least ¶0085, ¶0091).

Art Unit: 3686 Page 9

14 Claim 9:

Ghouri and Mohapatra disclose the limitation mentioned above. Ghouri and Mohapatra do not disclose the following limitations. However, Ghouri further discloses:

- (i) the originator indicator classifies the product as non-originator (See at least ¶0088, ¶0091)
- (ii) the patent status indicator classifies the product as on-patent (See at least ¶0088)
- (iii) the source indicator classifies the product as a product selected from the group consisting of single-source, multi-source, and co-licensed (See at least ¶0089, ¶0098).
- (iv) the tradename indicator classifies the product as tradename or non-tradename (See at least ¶0085, ¶0091).

15. Claim 10:

- (i) the originator indicator classifies the product as non-originator (See at least ¶0088, ¶0092)
- (ii) the patent status indicator classifies the product as off-patent (See at least ¶0005, ¶0092). Here, drug information required by law or regulatory agencies serve as on-patent, off-patent, and other.
- (iii) the source indicator classifies the product as multi-source (See at least ¶0089, ¶0098). Here, the manufacture's name serves as a single source and the generic name serves as multiple sources, co-licensed, and other.

Art Unit: 3686 Page 10

 (iv) the tradename indicator classifies the product as non-tradename (See at least ¶0085, ¶0091).

16. Claim 11:

Ghouri and Mohapatra disclose the limitation mentioned above. Ghouri and Mohapatra do not disclose the following limitations. However, Ghouri further discloses the originator indicator classifies the product as non-applicable over the counter, other non-applicable, unknown, other, or a non-existing category (See at least ¶0060, ¶0074). Here, both citations all non-applicable over the counter, other non-applicable, unknown, other and non-existing categories are classified within approved medications.

17. Claim 12:

Ghouri and Mohapatra disclose the limitation mentioned above. Ghouri and Mohapatra do not disclose the following limitations. However, Ghouri further discloses the patent status indicator classifies the product as a product selected from the group consisting of over the counter, other, and a non-existing category (See at least ¶0093, ¶0094). Here, all approved drugs serve as over the counter and non-existing category drugs.

Art Unit: 3686 Page 11

18 Claim 13:

Ghouri and Mohapatra disclose the limitation mentioned above. Ghouri and Mohapatra do not disclose the following limitations. However, Ghouri further discloses wherein the product is categorized as an other product, if the source indicator classifies the product as over the counter, other, or a non-existing category (See at least ¶0093, ¶0094). Here, all approved drugs serve as over the counter and non-existing category drugs.

19. Claim 14:

Ghouri and Mohapatra disclose the limitation mentioned above. Ghouri and Mohapatra do not disclose the following limitations. However, Ghouri further discloses wherein the product is categorized as an other product, if the tradename indicator classifies the product as over the counter, other, or a non-existing category (See at least ¶0093, ¶0094). Here, all approved drugs serve as over the counter and non-existing category drugs.

Art Unit: 3686 Page 12

20 Claim 15:

Ghouri and Mohapatra disclose the limitation mentioned above. Ghouri and Mohapatra do not disclose the following limitations. However, Ghouri further discloses:

- (i) the originator indicator classifies the product as non-originator (See at least ¶0088, ¶0092).
- (ii) the patent status indicator classifies the product as off-patent (See at least ¶0005, ¶0092). Here, drug information required by law or regulatory agencies serve as on-patent, off-patent, and other.
- (iii) the source indicator classifies the product as a product selected from the group consisting of single-source, multi-source, and co-licensed (See at least ¶0089, ¶0098).
- (iv) the tradename indicator classifies the product as tradename (See at least ¶0085, ¶0091).

21. Claim 16:

- if the originator indicator classifies the product as non-originator (See at least ¶0088, ¶0092)
- if the patent status indicator classifies the product as off-patent (See at least ¶0005, ¶0092).
- if the source indicator classifies the product as single-source or co-licensed (See at least ¶0089, ¶0098).

Art Unit: 3686 Page 13

 if the tradename indicator classifies file product as non-tradename (See at least ¶0085, ¶0091).

22. Claim 17:

Ghouri, as shown, discloses the following limitations:

- (a) an input device for inputting product information (See at least ¶0127, Claim 1)
- (i) determining a patent originator indicator for the product (See at least ¶0088)
- (ii) determining a patent status indicator for the product (See at least ¶0088)
- (iii) determining a source indicator for the product (See at least Fig. 3, ¶0088)
- (iv) determining a tradename indicator for the product (See at least Fig. 3, ¶0025, ¶0085, ¶0087)
- (v) categorizing the product as a branded product or a generic product using said originator indicator, said patent status indicator, said source indicator, and said tradename indicator determined in steps (i) - (iv); and (See at least ¶0087, ¶0089)
- (c) a storage device coupled to the processor for storing the originator indicator, the patent status indicator, the source indicator, and the tradename indicator for the product (See at least ¶0087, ¶0089, ¶0127, Claim 1).

Ghouri does not explicitly disclose classification of generic and brand name products. However, Mohapatra discloses a similar system provided below:

 (b) a processor coupled to the input device, using said product information (See at least ¶0216)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have modified the system of Ghouri so as to have included the processor of Mohapatra for maintaining a comprehensive

Art Unit: 3686 Page 14

pharmaceutical classification system for prescribing medications to patients to have improved the efficiency of the system, since so doing could be performed readily and easily by any person of ordinary skill in the art, with neither undue experimentation, nor risk of unexpected results.

23. Claim 18:

Ghouri and Mohapatra disclose the limitation mentioned above. Ghouri and Mohapatra do not disclose the following limitations. However, Ghouri further discloses wherein step (v) comprises categorizing the product as a product selected from the group consisting of a branded product, a generic product, and an other product, using said originator indicator, said patent status indicator, said source indicator, and said tradename indicator determined in steps (i)- (iv) (See at least Fig. 3, ¶0089, ¶0090, ¶0091). Here, the grouping serves as categorizing.

24. Claim 19:

Ghouri and Mohapatra disclose the limitation mentioned above. Ghouri and Mohapatra do not disclose the following limitations. However, Ghouri further discloses wherein step (v) comprises categorizing a product as a product selected from the group consisting of a branded product, a generic product, a branded generic product, and an other product, using said originator indicator,

Art Unit: 3686 Page 15

said patent status indicator, said source indicator, and said tradename indicator determined in steps (i) - (iv) (See at least Fig. 3, ¶0089, ¶0090, ¶0091). Here, the grouping serves as categorizing.

25. Claim 20:

- wherein the originator indicator classifies the product as a product selected from the group consisting of originator, non-originator, and other (See at least ¶0098).
 - Here, the manufacture's name serves as an originator and the chemical description serves as non-originator and other.
- wherein the patent status indicator classifies the product as a product selected from the group consisting of on-patent, off-patent, and other (See at least 0005).
 - Here, drug information required by law or regulatory agencies serve as onpatent, off-patent, and other.
- wherein the source indicator classifies the product as a product selected from the group consisting of single source, multiple source, co-licensed, and other (See at least ¶0089, ¶0098).
 - Here, the manufacture's name serves as a single source and the generic name serves as multiple sources. co-licensed, and other.
- wherein the tradename indicator classifies the product as a product selected from the group consisting of tradename, non-tradename, and other (See at least ¶0085, ¶0091).

Art Unit: 3686 Page 16

Here, numeric, alphanumeric and symbology sets serve as non-tradename and other.

26 Claim 21:

Ghouri and Mohapatra disclose the limitation mentioned above. Ghouri and Mohapatra do not disclose the following limitations. However, Ghouri further discloses:

 wherein the originator indicator classifies the product as a product selected from the group consisting of originator, non-originator, and other (See at least ¶0098).
 Here, the manufacture's name serves as an originator and the chemical

description serves as non-originator and other.

 wherein the patent status indicator classifies the product as a product sleeted from the group consisting of on-patent, off-patent, and other (See at least ¶0005).

Here, drug information required by law or regulatory agencies serve as onpatent, off-patent, and other.

 wherein the source indicator classifies the product as a product selected from the group consisting of single source, multiple source, co-licensed, and other (See at least ¶0089, ¶0098).

Here, the manufacture's name serves as a single source and the generic name serves as multiple sources. co-licensed, and other.

 wherein the tradename indicator classifies the product as a product selected from the group consisting of tradename, non-tradename, and other (See at least flo085, fl0091).

Art Unit: 3686 Page 17

Here, numeric, alphanumeric and symbology sets serve as non-tradename and other.

27 Claim 22:

Ghouri and Mohapatra disclose the limitation mentioned above. Ghouri and Mohapatra do not disclose the following limitations. However, Ghouri further discloses:

- wherein the originator indicator classifies the product as a product selected from the group consisting of originator, non-originator, and other (See at least ¶0098).
- wherein the patent status indicator classifies the product as a product selected from the group consisting of on-patent, off-patent, and other (See at least ¶0005)
- wherein the source indicator classifies the product as a product selected from the group consisting of single source, multiple source, co-licensed, and other (See at least ¶0089, ¶0098).
- wherein the tradename indicator classifies the product as a product selected from the group consisting of tradename, non-tradename, and other (See at least ¶0085, ¶0091).

28. Claim 23:

Art Unit: 3686 Page 18

 wherein the originator indicator classifies the product as a product selected from the group consisting of originator, non-originator, non-applicable over the counter, other non-applicable, unknown, and other (See at least ¶0098).

 wherein the patent status indicator classifies the product as a product selected from the group consisting of on-patent, off-patent, over the counter, and other (See at least ¶0089, ¶0098).

In the first citation, there are manufactured product names that serve as over the counter products.

- wherein the source indicator classifies the product as a product selected from the group consisting of single source, multiple source, co-licensed, over the counter, and other (See at least ¶0088, ¶0098).
- wherein the tradename indicator classifies the product as a product selected from the group consisting of tradename, non-tradename, over the counter, and other (See at least ¶0085, ¶0089, ¶0091).

29. Claim 24:

- (i) the originator indicator classifies the product as originator (See at least ¶0088)
- (ii) the patent status indicator classifies the product as on-patent or off-patent (See at least ¶0005, ¶0088)
- (iii) the source indicator classifies the product as a product selected from the group consisting of single-source, multi-source, and co-licensed (ee at least ¶0089, ¶0098).

Art Unit: 3686 Page 19

 (iv) the tradename indicator classifies the product as tradename or nontradename (See at least ¶0085, ¶0091).

30. Claim 25:

Ghouri and Mohapatra disclose the limitation mentioned above. Ghouri and Mohapatra do not disclose the following limitations. However, Ghouri further discloses:

- (i) the originator indicator classifies the product as non-originator (See at least ¶0088, ¶0091)
- (ii) the patent status indicator classifies the product as on-patent (See at least ¶0088)
- (iii) the source indicator classifies the product as a product selected from the group consisting of single-source, multi-source, and co-licensed (See at least ¶0089, ¶0098).
- (iv) the tradename indicator classifies the product as tradename or nontradename (See at least ¶0085, ¶0091).

31. Claim 26:

Ghouri and Mohapatra disclose the limitation mentioned above. Ghouri and Mohapatra do not disclose the following limitations. However, Ghouri further discloses:

 (i) the originator indicator classifies the product as non-originator (See at least ¶0088, ¶0092)

Art Unit: 3686 Page 20

 (ii) the patent status indicator classifies the product as off-patent (See at least ¶0005, ¶0092).

Here, drug information required by law or regulatory agencies serve as onpatent, off-patent, and other.

• (iii) the source indicator classifies the product as multi-source (See at least ¶0089, ¶0098).

Here, the manufacture's name serves as a single source and the generic name serves as multiple sources, co-licensed, and other.

• (iv) the tradename indicator classifies the product as non-tradename (See at least ¶0085, ¶0091).

32. Claim 27:

Ghouri and Mohapatra disclose the limitation mentioned above. Ghouri and Mohapatra do not disclose the following limitations. However, Ghouri further discloses the originator indicator classifies the product as non-applicable over the counter, other non-applicable, unknown, other, or a non-existing category (See at least ¶0060, ¶0074).

33. Claim 28:

Art Unit: 3686 Page 21

discloses the patent status indicator classifies the product as a product selected from the group consisting of over the counter, other, and a non-existing category (See at least ¶0093, ¶0094). Here, all approved drugs serve as over the counter and non-existing category drugs.

34. Claim 29:

Ghouri and Mohapatra disclose the limitation mentioned above. Ghouri and Mohapatra do not disclose the following limitations. However, Ghouri further discloses the source indicator classifies the product as over the counter, other, or a non-existing category (See at least ¶0093, ¶0094). Here, all approved drugs serve as over the counter and non-existing category drugs.

35. Claim 30:

Ghouri and Mohapatra disclose the limitation mentioned above. Ghouri and Mohapatra do not disclose the following limitations. However, Ghouri further discloses the tradename indicator classifies the product as over the counter, other, or a non-existing category (See at least ¶0093, ¶0094). Here, all approved drugs serve as over the counter and non-existing category drugs.

Art Unit: 3686 Page 22

36 Claim 31:

Ghouri and Mohapatra disclose the limitation mentioned above. Ghouri and Mohapatra do not disclose the following limitations. However, Ghouri further discloses:

- (i) the originator indicator classifies the product as non-originator (See at least ¶0088, ¶0092).
- (ii) the patent status indicator classifies the product as off-patent (See at least ¶0005, ¶0092).

Here, drug information required by law or regulatory agencies serve as onpatent, off-patent, and other.

- (iii) the source indicator classifies the product as a product selected from the group consisting of single-source, multi-source, and co-licensed
- (iv) the tradename indicator classifies the product as tradename (See at least ¶0085, ¶0091).

37. Claim 32:

- if the originator indicator classifies the product as non-originator (See at least ¶0088, ¶0092)
- if the patent status indicator classifies the product as off-patent (See at least ¶0005, ¶0092).

Art Unit: 3686 Page 23

 if the source indicator classifies the product as single-source or co-licensed (See at least ¶0089, ¶0098).

• if the tradename indicator classifies file product as non-tradename (See at least ¶0085, ¶0091).

38. Claim 33:

Ghouri, as shown, discloses the following limitations:

- (a) determining an originator indicator for the product (See at least ¶0088, ¶0125)
- (b) determining a patent status indicator for the product (See at least ¶0088)
- (c) determining a source indicator for the product (See at least Fig. 3, ¶0088, ¶0125)
- (d) determining a tradename indicator for the product (See at least Fig. 3, ¶0025, ¶0085, ¶0087, ¶0125)
- (e) categorizing the product as a branded product or a generic product using said originator indicator, said patent status indicator, said source indicator, and said tradename indicator determined in steps (i) - (iv); and (See at least ¶0087, ¶0089, ¶0125)

Ghouri does not explicitly disclose classification of generic and brand name products. However, Mohapatra discloses a similar system provided below:

 A computer-readable medium for categorizing a medical or a pharmaceutical product, the computer-readable medium having a set of instructions operable to direct a processor to perform the steps (See at least Fig. 1-4, Fig. 10, Abstract, ¶0225; Claim 67, Claim 68).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have modified the system of Ghouri so as to have included the computer-readable medium of Mohapatra for maintaining a

Art Unit: 3686 Page 24

comprehensive pharmaceutical classification system for prescribing medications to patients to have improved the efficiency of the system, since so doing could be performed readily and easily by any person of ordinary skill in the art, with neither undue experimentation, nor risk of unexpected results.

39. Claim 34:

Ghouri and Mohapatra disclose the limitation mentioned above. Ghouri and Mohapatra do not disclose the following limitations. However, Ghouri further discloses comprises categorizing the product as a product selected from the group consisting of a branded product, a generic product, and an other product, using said originator indicator, said patent status indicator, said source indicator, and said tradename indicator determined in steps (a) - (d) (See at least Fig. 3, ¶0089, ¶0090, ¶0091). Here, the grouping serves as categorizing.

40. Claim 35:

Ghouri and Mohapatra disclose the limitation mentioned above. Ghouri and Mohapatra do not disclose the following limitations. However, Ghouri further discloses wherein step (e) comprises categorizing a product as a product selected from the group consisting of a branded product, a generic product, a

Art Unit: 3686 Page 25

branded generic product, and an other product, using said originator indicator, said patent status indicator, said source indicator, and said tradename indicator determined in steps (a) - (d) (See at least Fig. 3, ¶0089, ¶0090, ¶0091). Here, the grouping serves as categorizing.

41 Claim 36:

Ghouri and Mohapatra disclose the limitation mentioned above. Ghouri and Mohapatra do not disclose the following limitations. However, Ghouri further discloses:

 wherein the originator indicator classifies the product as a product selected from the group consisting of originator, non-originator, and other (See at least ¶0098, ¶0125).

Here, the manufacture's name serves as an originator and the chemical description serves as non-originator and other.

 wherein the patent status indicator classifies the product as a product selected from the group consisting of on-patent, off-patent, and other (See at least ¶0005, ¶0125).

Here, drug information required by law or regulatory agencies serve as onpatent, off-patent, and other.

 wherein the source indicator classifies the product as a product selected from the group consisting of single source, multiple source, co-licensed, and other (See at least ¶0089, ¶0098).

Here, the manufacture's name serves as a single source and the generic name serves as multiple sources, co-licensed, and other.

Art Unit: 3686 Page 26

 wherein the tradename indicator classifies the product as a product selected from the group consisting of tradename, non-tradename, and other (See at least ¶0085, ¶0091).

Here, numeric, alphanumeric and symbology sets serve as non-tradename and other.

42 Claim 37:

Ghouri and Mohapatra disclose the limitation mentioned above. Ghouri and Mohapatra do not disclose the following limitations. However, Ghouri further discloses:

 wherein the originator indicator classifies the product as a product selected from the group consisting of originator, non-originator (See at least ¶0098).

Here, the manufacture's name serves as an originator and the chemical description serves as non-originator and other.

 wherein the patent status indicator classifies the product as a product selected from the group consisting of on-patent, off-patent, and other (See at least ¶0005).

Here, drug information required by law or regulatory agencies serve as onpatent, off-patent, and other.

 wherein the source indicator classifies the product as a product selected from the group consisting of single source, multiple source, co-licensed (See at least ¶0089, ¶0098).

Here, the manufacture's name serves as a single source and the generic name serves as multiple sources, co-licensed, and other.

Art Unit: 3686 Page 27

 wherein the tradename indicator classifies the product as a product selected from the group consisting of tradename, non-tradename, and other (See at least ¶0085, ¶0091).

Here, numeric, alphanumeric and symbology sets serve as non-tradename and other.

43. Claim 38:

- wherein the originator indicator classifies the product as a product selected from the group consisting of originator, non-originator, and other (See at least ¶0098, ¶0125).
- wherein the patent status indicator classifies the product as a product selected from the group consisting of on-patent, off-patent, and other (See at least ¶0005, ¶0125)
- wherein the source indicator classifies the product as a product selected from the group consisting of single source, multiple source, co-licensed, and other (See at least ¶0089, ¶0098, ¶0125).
- wherein the tradename indicator classifies the product as a product selected from the group consisting of tradename, non-tradename, and other (See at least ¶0085, ¶0091, ¶0125).

Art Unit: 3686 Page 28

44 Claim 39:

Ghouri and Mohapatra disclose the limitation mentioned above. Ghouri and Mohapatra do not disclose the following limitations. However, Ghouri further discloses:

- wherein the originator indicator classifies the product as a product selected from the group consisting of originator, non-originator, non-applicable over the counter, other non-applicable, unknown, and other (See at least ¶0098, ¶0125).
- wherein the patent status indicator classifies the product as a product selected from the group consisting of on-patent, off-patent, over the counter, and other (See at least ¶0089, ¶0098, ¶0125).

In the first citation, there are manufactured product names that serve as over the counter products.

- wherein the source indicator classifies the product as a product selected from the group consisting of single source, multiple source, co-licensed, over the counter, and other (See at least ¶0089, ¶0098, ¶0125).
- wherein the tradename indicator classifies the product as a product selected from the group consisting of tradename, non-tradename, over the counter, and other (See at least ¶0085, ¶0089, ¶0091, ¶0125).

45 Claim 40:

Ghouri and Mohapatra disclose the limitation mentioned above. Ghouri and Mohapatra do not disclose the following limitations. However, Ghouri further discloses:

 (i) the originator indicator classifies the product as originator (See at least ¶0088, ¶0125)

Art Unit: 3686 Page 29

 (ii) the patent status indicator classifies the product as on-patent or off-patent (See at least ¶0005, ¶0088, ¶0125)

- (iii) the source indicator classifies the product as a product selected from the group consisting of single-source, multi-source, and co-licensed (See at least 10089, 110098, 110125).
- (iv) the tradename indicator classifies the product as tradename or nontradename (See at least ¶0085, ¶0091, ¶0125).

46 Claim 41:

Ghouri and Mohapatra disclose the limitation mentioned above. Ghouri and Mohapatra do not disclose the following limitations. However, Ghouri further discloses:

- (i) the originator indicator classifies the product as non-originator (See at least ¶0088, ¶0092, ¶0125)
- (ii) the patent status indicator classifies the product as off-patent (See at least ¶0005, ¶0092, ¶0125).

Here, drug information required by law or regulatory agencies serve as onpatent, off-patent, and other.

• (iii) the source indicator classifies the product as multi-source (See at least ¶0089, ¶0098, ¶0125).

Here, the manufacture's name serves as a single source and the generic name serves as multiple sources, co-licensed, and other.

 (iv) the tradename indicator classifies the product as non-tradename (See at least ¶0085, ¶0091).

Art Unit: 3686 Page 30

47 Claim 42:

Ghouri and Mohapatra disclose the limitation mentioned above. Ghouri and Mohapatra do not disclose the following limitations. However, Ghouri further discloses:

- (i) the originator indicator classifies the product as non-originator (See at least ¶0088, ¶0092)
- (ii) the patent status indicator classifies the product as off-patent (See at least ¶0005, ¶0092).

Here, drug information required by law or regulatory agencies serve as onpatent, off-patent, and other.

• (iii) the source indicator classifies the product as multi-source (See at least ¶0089, ¶0098).

Here, the manufacture's name serves as a single source and the generic name serves as multiple sources, co-licensed, and other.

• (iv) the tradename indicator classifies the product as non-tradename (See at least ¶0085, ¶0091).

48. Claim 43:

Art Unit: 3686 Page 31

discloses the originator indicator classifies the product as non-applicable over the counter, other non-applicable, unknown, other, or a non-existing category (See at least ¶0060, ¶0074). Here, both citations all non-applicable over the counter, other non-applicable, unknown, other and non-existing categories are classified within approved medications.

49. Claim 44:

Ghouri and Mohapatra disclose the limitation mentioned above. Ghouri and Mohapatra do not disclose the following limitations. However, Ghouri further discloses the patent status indicator classifies the product as a product selected from the group consisting of over the counter, other, and a non-existing category (See at least ¶0093, ¶0094, ¶0125). Here, all approved drugs serve as over the counter and non-existing category drugs.

50 Claim 45:

Ghouri and Mohapatra disclose the limitation mentioned above. Ghouri and Mohapatra do not disclose the following limitations. However, Ghouri further discloses wherein the product is categorized as an other product, if the source indicator classifies the product as over the counter, other, or a non-existing

Art Unit: 3686 Page 32

category (See at least ¶0093, ¶0094, ¶0125). Here, all approved drugs serve as over the counter and non-existing category drugs.

51. Claim 46:

Ghouri and Mohapatra disclose the limitation mentioned above. Ghouri and Mohapatra do not disclose the following limitations. However, Ghouri further discloses wherein the product is categorized as an other product, if the tradename indicator classifies the product as over the counter, other, or a non-existing category (See at least ¶0093, ¶0094, ¶0125). Here, all approved drugs serve as over the counter and non-existing category drugs.

52. Claim 47:

Ghouri and Mohapatra disclose the limitation mentioned above. Ghouri and Mohapatra do not disclose the following limitations. However, Ghouri further discloses:

- (i) the originator indicator classifies the product as non-originator (See at least ¶0088, ¶0092, ¶0125)
- (ii) the patent status indicator classifies the product as off-patent (See at least ¶0005, ¶0092, ¶0125).

Here, drug information required by law or regulatory agencies serve as onpatent, off-oatent, and other.

Art Unit: 3686 Page 33

 (iii) the source indicator classifies the product as a product selected from the group consisting of single-source, multi-source, and co-licensed (See at least ¶0089, ¶0098, ¶0125).

• (iv) the tradename indicator classifies the product as tradename (See at least ¶0085, ¶0091, ¶0125).

53 Claim 48:

- if the originator indicator classifies the product as non-originator (See at least ¶0088, ¶0092, ¶0125)
- if the patent status indicator classifies the product as off-patent (See at least ¶0005, ¶0092, ¶0125).
- if the source indicator classifies the product as single-source or co-licensed (See at least ¶0089, ¶0098, ¶0125).
- if the tradename indicator classifies file product as non-tradename (See at least ¶0085, ¶0091, ¶0125).

Art Unit: 3686 Page 34

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to **Teresa Woods** whose telephone number is **571.270.5509**. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:30am-5:00pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, **Jerry O'Connor** can be reached at **571.272.6787**.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866.217.9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 3686 Page 35

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to (571) 273-8300.

Hand delivered responses should be brought to the United States Patent and

Trademark Office Customer Service Window:

Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314.

/T. W./ Examiner, Art Unit 3686 08/18/09

> /Gerald J. O'Connor/ Supervisory Patent Examiner Group Art Unit 3686